

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,984	01/26/2001	Raymond Lee	5384/55102	7541
7	590 08/19/2004		EXAMINER	
KEITH E. GEORGE,ESQ MC DERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13 TH STREET N.W.			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/770,984	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ran. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 May 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-20 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	۸					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Fatern Drawing Review (PTO-94: Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 Claim 2 has been cancelled and Claims 8-20 have been added; therefore Claims 1, and 3-20 are currently pending in application 09/770,984.

Claim Objections

2. The objection to Claim 1 is withdrawn due to applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 1, 3-11, and 13-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yura (US 6,327,373 B1).
- 5. As per independent Claims 1, 5, 8, and 20, Yura discloses a computerized method (system) for learning a delivery point address and updating a database of such delivery point addresses by using unmatched or unused data from at least one mail piece, wherein the method comprises: a. capturing a text string from an image of a first mail piece (C1 L5-15); b. comparing the text string to a first set of preexisting data in the database

Art Unit: 3629

(directory retrieval system database) to determine a match for the data on the mail piece according to a first set of predetermined rules (C4 L33-51); c. separating the matched or used data from the unmatched data or unused data (candidate/probable solution) determined by step (b) (C12 L6-22); c. correlating the unmatched or unused data from the mail piece to a second set of preexisting data according to a second set of predetermined rules (C12 L6-22); and e. updating the database with the unmatched or unused data (C12 L6-22). (Abstract, Fig.12, C1 L5-15, C1 L55-65, C2 L18-38, C3 L21-37, C4 L26-50, C11 L54-67, C12 L1-22)

- 6. Yura fails to expressly disclose wherein the database is updated so that a point of delivery for a second mail piece with the same intended delivery point as the first mail piece and having similar unmatched or unused data as the first mail piece can be automatically determined.
- 7. However, Yura does teach the registering of a addressee name to correlate with a zip code, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the newly registered data for future zip code/address searches (Fig.12, C11 L54-67, C12 L1-22).
- 8. As per Claims 3 and 6, Yura discloses wherein said capture means comprises an optical character recognition system.
- 9. As per Claims 4 and 7, Yura discloses wherein said correlation step is performed utilizing a search engine.
- 10. As per Claim 9, Yura discloses updating the pre-existing delivery point address data with the unmatched data when the unmatched data meets criteria for promotion.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 4

- 11. As per Claim 10, Yura discloses wherein the step of identifying unmatched data comprises obtaining address data; and comparing the address data with the pre-existing delivery point address data.
- 12. As per Claim 11, Yura discloses wherein the address is obtained from mail pieces.
- 13. As per Claim 13, Yura discloses wherein the step of analyzing the unmatched data comprises: identifying a data type for the unmatched data; and identifying the corresponding data for that data type in the pre-existing delivery point address data.
- 14. As per Claim 14, Yura discloses wherein the step of associating the unmatched data comprises: creating an alias record correlating the unmatched data to corresponding data in the pre-existing delivery point address data.
- 15. As per Claim 15, Yura discloses wherein the step of updating the preexisting delivery point address data comprises: adding an alias record to a corresponding alias table associated with the pre-existing delivery point address data.
- 16. As per Claim 16, Yura discloses wherein the criteria for promotion includes a threshold number (one to infinity) of uses of the alias record.
- 17. As per Claim 17, Yura discloses wherein the step of updating the pre-existing delivery point address data comprises: adding a new delivery point address to the pre-existing delivery point address data in the event that the unmatched data does not correspond to an existing delivery point address.
- 18. As per Claim 18, Yura discloses selectively removing from the pre-existing delivery point address data the unmatched data when the unmatched data meets criteria for demotion.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 5

- 19. As per Claim 19 Yura discloses prioritizing the unmatched data according to selection criteria prior to analyzing the unmatched data.
- 20. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Yura.
- 21. As per Claim 12, Yura does not expressly show wherein the address is obtained from the internet.
- 22. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The delivery point address learning/updating method would be performed regardless of the where the address is obtained. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have obtained the address from the internet, because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

- 24. Applicant's arguments filed 5/14/04, with respect to Claims 1 and 3-20, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 25. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3629

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

- 26. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 27. The Applicant Makes the argument that Yura fails to separate and correlate unmatched or unused data according to a set of predetermined rules.
- 28. However, Yura does disclose separating zip code data from addressee name data, and when the zip code data is searched and unmatched, correlating the addressee name data to information in a database and later registering the addressee name data with corresponding zip code data for future zip code searches (Fig.12, C11 L54-67, C12 L1-22).

Conclusion

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-

Art Unit: 3629

Page 7

0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am -5:00pm.

- 30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306 for all official communications.
- 31. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600